

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,356 09/11/2003		09/11/2003	Benyamin Harzanu	2511/102	5515	
2101	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP				NGUYEN, JIMMY		
125 SUMME	ER STREE	ET				
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2829		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/660,356	HARZANU ET AL	HARZANU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	011				
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829	N.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely tONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	September 2003.						
	_						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 83 -107 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 83 - 107 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	-	` ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in trity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0304.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/660,356

Art Unit: 2829

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims Status

The examiner acknowledges claims 1 - 82 have been canceled. Claims 83 - 107 are pending in the application.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

 Claims 83 – 107 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 41 of U.S.
 Patent No. 6630832.

### Claims Matching

10/660356	6630832
83	1
84	2
85	3
86	7

Application/Control Number: 10/660,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

87	8
88, 90	3
89	19
91	6,9,10,11
92 – 95	1 – 5
96, 97	21
98	27
99	28
100	32
101	41
102	41
103	31
104 – 107	21 – 25

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are still in the same scope of the invention.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to further detail the defect parameters for the purpose of inspecting the device under different characteristics.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/660,356

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. Nov 26,2004

**Bobert Pascal** 

Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 2800**